

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
	Date of meeting: 12th October 2022			
1.	Attendees and apologies			
		Matthew Dean (Chair - Wiltshire Councillor) Suzanne Wickham (Wiltshire Councillor) Carole King (Wiltshire Councillor) Gordon King (Wiltshire Councillor) Michael Sutton (Westbury Town Council) Keith Rayward (Bratton Parish Council) John Pollard (Edington Parish Council) Richard Culverhouse (Heywood Parish Council) John Mason (Heywood Parish Council) Sarah Harris (Westbury Town Council officer) Kirsty Rose (Wiltshire Council) Denise Nott (Wiltshire Council) Martin Rose (Wiltshire Council)		
	Apologies	Chris Johns (Edington Parish Council)		
2.	Notes of last meeting			
		The notes of the last meeting were agreed at the area board on 27 th September 2022		
3.	Financial Position			
		The available budget at the start of the meeting is £3862.78		

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>The contribution level for Parish/Town Councils is set at 30%.</p> <p>Allocations made at meeting:</p> <p>Newtown – ‘Unsuitable for HGV’ signing - £400 (£280 LHFIG / £120 WTC) Coach Road Westbury – Direction sign - £400 (£280 LHFIG / £120 WTC) Clivey, Dilton Marsh – Signing - £1200 (£840 LHFIG / £360 DMPC) Alfred Street, Westbury – Bollards additional funding - £400 (£280 LHFIG / £120 WTC).</p> <p>Remaining budget: £2182.78</p>		
4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes			
a)	<p>18-19-8, 18-20-26 Frogmore Road (From Rosefield Way to Slag Lane).</p> <p>18-21-7 Slag Lane, Westbury</p> <p>Request for Traffic Calming</p>	<p>(notes shortened. See August 22 notes for full details)</p> <p>Due to proposed changes to LHFIG and substantive bids, contributions to bids should be a minimum of one-third the annual allocation. Therefore, this scheme requires an additional £3000 allocation for substantive bid.</p> <p>It was agreed to allocate an additional £3000 to the substantive bid.</p> <p>It was agreed that the O&D survey should proceed, subject to a contribution from Westbury Town Council. Allocation £2450 LHFIG / £1050 WTC.</p> <p>Survey data received in July. KR to undertake analysis and provide to group.</p> <p>It was agreed that the substantive bid is to proceed as planned. WTC are to discuss contribution to bid at HP&D.</p>	<p>Substantive bid deadline mid Nov. KR to prepare bid and have signed off by Chair/Strategic Engagement Manager.</p> <p>KR outlined the data from the origin and destination survey. The survey recorded 1482 vehicles during the 12 hr survey. Of those, 330 were matched as entering and exiting the area in journey times consistent</p>	KR

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
			<p>with through movement. This also includes bus movements.</p> <p>A briefing note summarising the data is to be provided.</p>	
b)	<p>18-20-4 Parking Oldfield Park Westbury (nr 103) – Request for additional parking</p> <p>18-20-5 Oldfield Park, Westbury - Parking (nr 71)</p> <p>18-20-28 Westbury Infant School</p>	<p>(notes shortened. See August 22 notes for full details)</p> <p>Proposal for parking restrictions on Queens Road and Oldfield Park circulated with tracker for consideration. Estimated costs are £2000 for TRO advert and £2500 for implementation.</p> <p>KR has also contacted to Traffic Signals re: options for preventing vehicles mounting the footway near crossing. Awaiting response.</p> <p>The group supported the proposed parking restrictions and agreed to allocate funding for the TRO advertisement. Allocation -£1400 LHFIFG / £600 WTC (tbc) – total £2000.</p> <p>TRO documents drafted for advertisement however Selwood have requested additional restrictions via WTC.</p> <p>KR suggested that given lack of available space for parked cars to move to, a H bar marking to keep the driveway clear (based on concern raised) would be most appropriate in first instance. GK agreed with this. MS agreed but was concerned that parking opposite may continue to be a problem.</p>	<p>Awaiting TRO consultation.</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>It was agreed to proceed with H bar marking alongside waiting restrictions when implemented. No additional funding needed</p>		
c)	<p>18-20-18 Bratton Road Westbury – narrow section</p>	<p>(notes shortened. See August 22 notes for full details)</p> <p>KR presented option for priority working with footway widening. It would be necessary to remove some on-street parking. Overall cost with footway widening would be £25,000. Signing and road markings only would be £9,000. KR recommends footway widening option to improve pedestrian environment and remove any doubt about road width.</p> <p>GK supported this approach, as does MS and other councillors. GK suggested substantive bid be made in 22/23 to fund this scheme.</p> <p>Substantive bid in 22/23. KR to progress design for bid purposes.</p> <p>Scheme to be moved to top 5.</p> <p>CATG contribution agreed - £4000. WTC contribution to be advised</p> <p>Due to proposed changes to LHFIG and substantive bids, contributions to bids should be a minimum of one-third the annual allocation. Therefore, this scheme requires an additional £3000 allocation for substantive bid.</p> <p>LHFIG agreed an additional £1500 toward the substantive bid. The town council are to consider their contribution and report back.</p>	<p>Substantive bid deadline mid Nov. KR to prepare bid and have signed off by Chair/Strategic Engagement Manager.</p>	<p>KR</p>

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Following the meeting, KR sought clarification regarding the 1/3rd contribution to substantive bids and it was confirmed that this can be made up of funding from both the LHFIG and town and parish contributions. It does not need to be solely made up from the LHFIG funding.</p> <p>Based on the increase from LHFIG, WTC agreed to increase their contribution from £4,000 to £5,500</p> <p>Substantive bid to proceed.</p>		
d)	18-21-10 Hawkeridge Road, Westbury Request for ANPR at Bus Gate	<p>(notes shortened. See August 22 notes for full details)</p> <p>Outline plan circulated with note tracker. Cost estimate prepared and likely cost is £20,000. Discussions re electrical supply ongoing – this will inform further detail design work.</p> <p>Some changes to signing and the current layout are needed and included in estimate. The town council are seeking to fund this in entirety. A detail design and updated costing following confirmation of electrical supply details will be provided.</p> <p>WTC agreed to funding the work at a cost of £20,000 from CIL. This has been approved by WTC.</p> <p>It was discussed, and agreed, that no contribution is required from Heywood & Hawkeridge PC as this is within WTC area.</p>	<p>There are potential issues with electricity supply to ANPR cameras. This is being investigated. There is no option for solar provision.</p> <p>Town Council to consider origin/destination survey from item 4a when received and determine if they wish to proceed.</p>	<p>KR</p> <p>WTC</p>
5.	Other Priority schemes			

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
a)	18-20-13 & 14 Newtown, Westbury – Traffic Calming request	<p>It was agreed to wait for the traffic survey results before determining the next course of action.</p> <p>Survey requested to take place at top and bottom of Newtown.</p> <p>KR to liaise with traffic surveys re: locations. Await outcome of traffic survey.</p> <p>KR to review options.</p> <p>Survey results attached with tracker. Survey to be repeated in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Speeds do not indicate a need for enforcement however KR to review options for managing vehicle movements. Carriageway roundel stating '30' could be provided alongside terminal signs at top of Newtown. This would cost £300 as part of the ad-hoc lining programme.</p> <p>The existing parking constrains vehicle speeds within the residential area.</p> <p>The surveys show the route is well used, particularly at weekends, however as the route to the White Horse this is to be expected.</p> <p>Consideration could be given to 'pedestrian in road' warning signs on the section towards Long River Road if there are concerns regarding pedestrian safety in this location.</p>	Carriageway roundels to be implemented as part of ad-hoc lining programme.	To note

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>The group agreed funding for a carriageway roundel as above. Allocation £210 LHFIFG / £90 WTC – total £300.</p> <p>Letter to be sent by area board / councillors to PCC regarding enforcement.</p>		
b)	18-20-20 Newtown, Westbury – request for 'unsuitable for HGV' sign	<p>The traffic survey to be undertaken on Newtown has been asked to include vehicle types to identify the extent of this issue. The group agreed to await the outcome of the traffic survey.</p> <p>Await outcome of traffic survey.</p> <p>Survey results attached with tracker. Survey to be repeated in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Survey showed 9 return large vehicle movements per day on average. This is not excessive and accounts for required access.</p> <p>To be reviewed following further survey. On hold until Summer 2022</p>	<p>It was agreed that an 'unsuitable for HGV' sign would be provided. The estimated total cost is £400 with £280 LHFIFG contribution and £120 WTC contribution (tbc).</p>	KR
c)	18-21-3 Alfred Street, Westbury	<p>(notes shortened. See August 22 notes for full details)</p> <p>Traffic survey results circulated with note tracker. Consideration may be given to introduction of 20mph speed limit (sign only) to include streets leading off Alfred Street.</p> <p>The group agreed that a 20mph speed limit should be given consideration. A signing proposal and cost estimate is to be prepared.</p>	<p>TRO documents with TRO team for processing. KR to inform of advert dates when known.</p> <p>The anticipated cost of implementation is £4000.</p>	To note

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>It was agreed to proceed with TRO advert. Funding was agreed at £2500 (£1750 LHFIFG / £750 WTC) subject to confirmation of contribution from WTC.</p> <p>KR to also prepare proposal plan and cost estimate for implementation to be discussed as next meeting.</p>		
d)	Bratton – Lower Road/B3098/Stradbrook Crossroads	<p>Concerns regarding safety at crossroads. Request for improved signing. Documentation from Keith Rayward attached.</p> <p>CATG requested this be investigated to determine what improvements can be made. KR to provide plan and cost estimate to Parish Council and to CATG by next meeting.</p> <p>CATG agreed to proceed with proposed signing and road marking improvements (attached).</p> <p>Total £1050 - £735 CATG and £315 BPC confirmed.</p> <p>Works pack and order being prepared alongside 20mph speed limit implementation. To be completed by end October.</p>	<p>Works pack issued. Works to be complete during October.</p>	To note
e)	18-21-6 Leigh Road, Westbury Extension of Footway	<p>(notes shortened. See August 22 notes for full details)</p> <p>Relocation of utility post would require extensive diversions due to the number and location of connections relating to overhead cables. This is likely to cost in excess of £10,000, if deemed possible by the utility company as a standalone change.</p> <p>Due to the narrow footway width at the existing dropped kerbs, there is no scope to provide bollards to highlight the crossing point.</p>	<p>Meeting held with school to discuss opening gate on Leigh Road. This cannot be done for safeguarding purposes.</p> <p>Some vegetation removal to be undertaken by town council.</p>	To note

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Matravers to be contacted to encourage opening of pedestrian gate at this location to allow school children to access, takin away the need to walk further along Leigh Road.</p> <p>MD & KR to arrange meeting with Matravers Head to discuss travel plan and school traffic. Holding response to be provided to resident.</p>	<p>No further action for LHFIG on Leigh Rd. KR to circulate TAOSJ report with note tracker and ask School Travel Plan advisor to contact Matravers re travel plan.</p>	<p>To note / KR</p>
f)	18-21-11 Coach Road Westbury	<p>Coach Road is access to Coach Road Farmhouse and the Farm only. (Except for Access). It is used as a rat run and drivers speed excessively down the single-track lane. Our cat has been killed and God forbid this happens to our children. We like this to stop. We also have children racing motorbikes down the lane.</p> <p>More signage and clearly indicating 'No Access' Speed bumps Barrier Anything to stop people driving through here!!</p> <p>Town Council At a meeting held on Monday 15th November 2021, Westbury Town Council Highways, Planning and Development Committee considered the above issue and has the following response to make:</p> <p>The committee voted –</p> <p>2. That the matter is of sufficient seriousness to be of immediate consideration by CATG.</p>	<p>Signing has been reviewed and all found to be in order with regard to the access restriction. It was agreed that an additional sign opposite the driveway to the White Horse Country Park would be beneficial, directing all vehicles exiting to turn right to the A350 junction. Funding was agreed at £400 (£280 LHFIG / £120 Heywood PC (tbc)).</p> <p>Flytipping concerns have been highlighted to the enforcement team. They have no recent reports</p>	<p>HPC/ KR</p>

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Westbury Town Council have asked the police to do some spot checks and enforcement in the area.</p> <p>Signing review underway.</p> <p>The View have committed to reminding customers that access is from A350. CEM also issued information on social media.</p> <p>KR to check if Heywood PC have any concerns as this is within their area. KR also to flag flytipping concerns with enforcement team.</p>	<p>and it is not an area of concern.</p> <p>Residents are advised to report any flytipping via the MyWilts app.</p>	
g)	18-21-12 Wellhead Drove, Westbury	<p>(notes shortened. See August 22 notes for full details) Dangerous junction – Wellhead Drove/A350 Speeding traffic – Wellhead Drove</p> <p>Provide more adequate warning signs for drivers on the A350 that there is danger of merging traffic ahead to slow them down more. Enforce a residential speed limit on Wellhead Drove of 20mph.</p> <p>Wellhead Drove is currently subject to national speed limit. KR to determine if the 30mph speed limit can be extended to cover Wellhead Drove and review signing etc on approach to crossroads.</p> <p>Propose extension of 30mph speed limit to include Wellhead Drove, with required signing. This would require a TRO. Overall implementation costs (with signing) of £3000.</p> <p>Recommend significant vegetation trimming alongside A350 to improve visibility and light conditions through crossroads. Trimming should</p>	<p>TRO currently on advert for speed limit change. Consultation ends on the 24th October.</p>	To note

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>include high level branches overhanging carriageway. Much of this is within private ownership therefore contact by Area Highways required. It was agreed to ask for a letter to be sent out.</p> <p>The group agreed to fund the TRO advert and implementation costs. Allocation £3000 - £2100 LHFIFG / £900 WTC (tbc)</p> <p>WTC have confirmed financial contribution. TRO to be advertised. KR to advise of advert dates once known.</p> <p>KR & DN to discuss vegetation trimming and update next meeting.</p>		
h)	18-21-13 Clivey, Dilton Marsh	<p>The PC is requesting consideration be given to options for managing speed along the B3099 at the Clivey crossroads and up into the village. Vehicles speed along this stretch of road which is used frequently by horse riders, cyclists, and walkers. Residents have requested a lowering of the speed limit from 60 mph to 40 mph for safety reasons.</p> <p>The PC would like Wiltshire Council to investigate options to address the speeding issue</p> <p>SW to speak with parish council. May be appropriate to consider measures other than change in speed limit to assist vulnerable road users</p> <p>The group discussed the issues faced by walkers and horse riders along this route due to the lack of footway and vehicle speeds. KR to prepare a proposal and estimate for improvements to signing and/or other measures to mitigate this.</p>	<p>KR presented a proposal to install 2no horse warning signs. Cost estimate £800.</p> <p>Also proposed improvement to byway signing for DMAR45 to highlight byway access. Cost estimate £400.</p> <p>LHFIFG supported both proposals and allocated funding subject to approval and contribution from DMPC. £1200 (£840 LHFIFG, £360 DMPC (tbc)).</p>	DMPC

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>KR to progress ahead of next meeting.</p> <p>SW confirmed that danger to horse riders is the main concern currently.</p>		
i)	18-21-14 Charlton Hill, Edington	<p>(notes shortened. See August 22 notes for full details)</p> <p>Sign to be provided under street nameplate stating 'No Turning' And 'For nos 8 & 9, use Tinhead Rd'. Example provided with tracker.</p> <p>The estimated cost is £200.</p> <p>It was agreed to allocate funding £140 LHFIG / £60 PC – total £200</p> <p>To be taken forward by Denise Nott (Area Highways). DN updated the group that the current workload for her and the technician for Westbury is high, but this will be progressed when resources allow.</p>	Denise Nott confirmed this is being progressed alongside other commitments and it is anticipated that this will be complete in December.	DN
j)	18-21-15 Alfred Street, Westbury (Lorries)	<p>(notes shortened. See August 22 notes for full details)</p> <p>Signing on A350 Warminster Road to be reviewed to ensure signing is visible and directing vehicles correctly.</p> <p>Proposal and estimate to be prepared, including bollard to protect wall.</p> <p>Propose a reboundable bollard be installed next to lighting column to protect wall. Estimated cost £300.</p> <p>It was agreed to fund bollard install ahead of signing review being complete. Allocation £210 LHFIG / £90 WTC – total £300</p>	Information relating to signing and proposal for wider review at a number of locations is provided with the note tracker. The estimated cost of signing changes is in the region of £5k - £7k but this will be determined by the review.	WTC

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Signing review underway. Provision of bollard being taken forward by Denise Nott.</p> <p>KR to provide update for resident.</p>	<p>The LHFIG agreed that the review should go ahead.</p> <p>Bollard installation at the top of Alfred Street is progressing, with an amended proposal to introduce 3no flexible bollards. An amended cost of £700 is associated with this. The group agreed to fund the additional costs, with contribution from WTC. Additional funding required is £400 (£280 LHFIG / £120 WTC).</p>	
k)	<p>18-19-11 Edington – various roads (excl. B3098)</p> <p>20mph request</p>	<p>Report circulated to CATG members in April setting out recommendations for the implementation of 20mph speed limit on various roads in the village at an estimated cost of £13,000.</p> <p>The group agreed to submit a bid for funding via the Substantive CATG bid process. CATG have allocated £1000 toward this. Edington Parish Council have confirmed £1000 contribution</p> <p>Substantive bid to be made in next round. Closing date this year is 17th September</p> <p>CATG allocation of £1000.EPC £1000</p>	<p>Order has been raised with contractor. Awaiting installation. The deadline given is end of Jan 2023.</p>	To note

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Substantive bid for 22/23 funding was successful.</p> <p>KR to progress legal advert.</p> <p>Advertisement complete and no objections received. Works order for implementation being prepared.</p> <p>To be moved to other priority schemes until complete.</p>		
I)	18-20-09 Dursley Road and Hawkeridge junctions with B3097	<p>(notes shortened. See August 22 notes for full details)</p> <p>Atkins speed limit assessment report circulated with note tracker. No changes recommended. The group expressed disappointment at the outcome.</p> <p>Residents remain concerned regarding the junction and near-miss incidents continue. A resident has prepared information on the matter which is included with this note tracker.</p> <p>KR explained that the signal equipment will be replaced when funding is available, which will allow more changes to be made, but there is no timescale for this. In the short term, KR will contact the signals team to ask if any further changes can be made now and to highlight the ongoing issues. The need for markings to be refreshed will also be raised with Area Highways.</p> <p>Item is to be moved to other priority schemes.</p> <p>Centre line and stop lines have been refreshed and vegetation cut back where needed. Intergreen times have been changed to ensure more time for side road traffic to exit.</p>	<p>Heywood Parish Council confirmed their support and contribution for the CCTV camera installation.</p> <p>KR to check the signing requirements for the CCTV camera and report back.</p>	<p>To note</p> <p>KR</p>

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p><u>Options from Traffic Signals</u></p> <p>Atkins traffic signals have put together options, with costs, for improvements ranging from changes to pole positions to full refurbishment. This ranges from £6k to £60k+ but many of the options do not offer best value if the junction is to be refurbished in the future.</p> <p>A lower cost option to consider in the interim would be to use the speed assessment loops to generate demands to bring the signals out of all red earlier – at present if the signals is resting on all red I believe it will only come out of that when a driver hits the X loop at 39m. At 40mph they cover this in about 2 seconds. What drivers SHOULD be doing is tapering their speed until they see green come up. What they may be doing, is assuming that green will come up, as perhaps it does normally, so not tapering their speed – but of course when its red due to an emerging vehicle - it doesn't. Basically, we would be catering for poor driving and impatience if we did this – not normal practice – but it is do-able and could make it safer. Cost about £3k</p> <p>The CCTV camera option is favoured by LHFIG and to be discussed by parish council. The group allocated £3,000 (£2000 LHFIG, £1000 PC) subject to PC contribution confirmation.</p>		
m)	18-20-8 Bratton – 20mph Speed Limit Assessment	<p>BPC would like to pursue implementation of a 20mph speed limit on Lower Road and Court Lane. Estimated cost is £4500 with 50% contribution offered by BPC. CATG agreed to progress. Funding £4500 (£2250 CATG, £2250 BPC).</p> <p>TRO documentation to be passed to TRO team for legal advert</p>	This is now complete and can be removed from the note tracker.	To note

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Advertisement complete and no objections received. Works order for implementation being prepared. To be moved to other priority schemes until complete.</p> <p>Implementation has been delayed but anticipate completion by end of Oct.</p> <p>Keith Rayward highlighted concerns from parishioners regarding collisions on Lower Road / Court Lane.</p>		
n)	18-22-1 B3098 Edington – Pedestrian Safety Improvements	<p>Excessive speed of traffic on some parts of the B3098 through the village which has very few pavements causing an increased danger to pedestrians and other road users</p> <p>The Chair of the Council has met with the Senior Highways engineer on site to discuss the issue. There are two main areas of concern, firstly the section of road from the 30mph sign at the Bratton end of the road through to the junction with Greater Lane. Regular speed watch sessions undertaken in this location always record a number of vehicles in excess of 36mph travelling in both directions. This section of road is in a cutting with no where for pedestrians to walk except on the carriageway and this stretch is the only means of access between the village and Sandy Lane (the track onto Salisbury Plain). The second section is between the top of Monastery Road and the farm shop, again regular speed watch session always record vehicles in excess of 36mph.</p> <p>Traffic surveys are being processed (in place at time of meeting).</p>	KR to arrange site meeting with John Pollard and Suzanne Wickham and prepare proposals during December.	KR

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>KR to investigate options for improvements and report back.</p> <p>Traffic surveys received and provided with note tracker.</p> <p>KR to prepare proposal ahead of next meeting.</p>		
o)	<p>18-22-2 Haynes Road/Station Rd/The Ham, Westbury</p> <p>18-22-3 Amazon Way/Station Road junction, Westbury</p>	<p>Due to recent and ongoing developments, traffic travelling along Station Road from the junction with Haynes Road to The Ham has now increased to a level where existing arrangements are no longer appropriate.</p> <p>Residents have contacted the council stating that the sighting issues at some of the new roundabouts are creating problems. The position of the existing zebra crossings and the means for pedestrians, especially school children to access the station safely need reviewing.</p> <p><u>Amazon Way</u> On approaching the roundabout from Amazon Way (Spinnaker Estate) and joining Station Rd visibility to the right is very limited due to the roundabout junction laying too far back and shrubbery, therefore unable to see clearly approaching traffic from under the railway Bridge, also vehicles from Station Rd cannot see traffic waiting on Amazon Way. Traffic from under the bridge approaches roundabout at such a speed that there have been numerous near misses. A fatal accident is waiting to happen.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shrubby removed or moved back out of line of sight. • Junction markings on Amazon Way moved forward. • More signage to slow down traffic approaching from under bridge on Station Rd or even speed humps.20mph zone. • Sign at moment opposite Mantell Close inadequate and too close to roundabout. 	<p>It was agreed that the requested review will be undertaken. KR to report back to LHFIFG.</p> <p>The focus is pedestrian safety. CK also highlighted original complaints being raised regarding lorries on Station Road and damage to property due to vibrations.</p>	KR

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This problem is worse at peak times ie 8-9am and 3.30-6pm <p>Westbury Town Council would like a comprehensive review of the traffic regulations and management of Station Road from the junction with Haynes Road to the junction with The Ham. This is to place particular emphasis on the junctions arising from new developments.</p>		
p)	<p>18-22-5 Springfield Road, Westbury</p>	<p>Westbury Town Council have received further contact from residents on Springfield Road raising concerns about car parking in front of their homes. This was shared with the Police and they provided the response below. Over the years Westbury Town Council have received many concerns from residents about ongoing traffic and parking issues in the area.</p> <p>Westbury Town Council request that a one-way system be introduced.</p> <p>KR to circulate previous Taking Action on School Journeys report.</p> <p>MD & KR to meet with head teacher.</p>	<p>Meeting held with Matravers. Road markings for waiting restrictions to be refreshed. This is the responsibility of Parking Services. KR to request enforcement once lining has been remarked.</p> <p>The pedestrian road markings and parking bays are to be refreshed by Area Highways. This will be in Spring 2023.</p> <p>School concerned about pedestrian safety. KR to review options including discussions re: land from industrial estate.</p>	KR

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
q)	18-22-6 6 Tinhead Road, Edington	<p>The bottom of the steps outside this property are constantly being hit by vehicles and the property owner has had to have repairs undertaken on more than one occasion. The problem arises due to the narrowness of the road and vehicles parking opposite the property.</p> <p>A kerb placed in front of the property protecting the steps and wall, this would also require some bollards being placed in the grass on the other side of the road to stop vehicles parking on the grass as the road width will be reduced.</p> <p>The property owner has been in contact with the PC who have had a conversation with the Area Highways Engineer Denise Nott, who suggested a plastic bollard but this was thought to be insufficient in stopping vans and the like hitting the property.</p> <p>Kerbing and bollards on the grass opposite would seem to be the best way forward. The only other alternative would be an extension to the double yellow lines soon to be painted in the Tinhead Road area.</p>	<p>KR to visit site with SW and JP. Parking opposite property causes vehicles to be closer to steps, causing issue.</p> <p>Group asked that any solution be proportional to the issue.</p>	KR
r)	18-22-7 Road North of Ivy Mill Farm, Edington	<p>I believe I have become a somewhat familiar sign on the local roads 'walking' my assistance dog from my wheelchair. Additionally we have several horses and ponies herd that are ridden, including by four children, including a thirteen year old who cycles from the village twice daily and driven as well as the occasional regrettable but rather inevitable incident of escaped livestock or poultry.</p> <p>The nature of traffic on this road had changed considerably from when we moved here 12 years ago and where we had few worries apart from speeding drivers late at night previously, our fears were confirmed this</p>	<p>KR to undertake site visit and report back to group.</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>week when on the same day I had a near miss with a car , our barn cat was killed by a car.</p> <p>As we are regrettably not close to any byways for my disabled adapted carriage that arrives in a month or two I will be almost exclusively carriage driving on the road as we do not have a vehicle large enough to box both ponies AND a carriage away from local roads. We also have children riding out.</p> <p>I wonder if there might be any merit adding a warning sign of riders or disabled 'pedestrian' to help warn the drivers who aren't local that they might be surprised by a slow wheel chair user and dog on roads with no pavements, or children on ponies?</p> <p>i understand the signage might be of limited use - my neighbours have told me despite the cows crossing warning sign they frequently take some 'blue language' from drivers who dislike or are surprised to have to wait for the dairy cows crossing.</p> <p>This is still a rural area which people expect to be able to skip through at s jaunty pace and I think that's fair, its still however a rural area where there are rural hazards like disabled rustics.</p> <p>My feeling is a sign might at least give drivers fair warning.</p> <p>I don't know how much such signs cost and if for something with a high likely hood of being ignored it's worth asking for community resource to be considered.</p>		

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		Mostly I am treated very much better in the wheelchair than ever I was on a horse- but of course - that doesn't lessen my concern for the children and adults riding out from my home, not myself on the times I do suffer near misses .		
7.	New Requests / Issues			
a)	18-22-8 Bratton Road, Westbury – Request for Zebra crossing	<p>Request: It's about time that a zebra crossing was put in place by the pottery on Bratton road. Bitham brook has been extended and now more children than ever have to cross that main road. Most cars do not slow down and I along with other parents are worried sick about a child being killed on that crossing one day. I have been using that crossing with my children for 20years but now with more children it is becoming more if a worry. Especially for the morning run when there are 100s of extra cars going past.. it is the main crossing for children to go to bitham brook school and kingfisher play school</p> <p>At a meeting on 26th September 2022, Westbury TC Highways, Planning & Development Committee discussed the concerns on this stretch of road, including poor visibility, particularly by the cemetery. It was felt it would not be possible to install a formal crossing due to costs ranging from £28,000-£140,000. They agreed that additional signage in the area should be considered , approaching the junction with Alfred St & Newtown & voted that the matter is of sufficient seriousness to be considered a town council priority worthy of contribution to the cost.</p>	This item was discussed and it was determined that the existing provision is appropriate. Drivers should be anticipating the presence of pedestrians given that this is in a built-up area and there is a visible pedestrian refuge. Warning signs are also in place for the crossroads junction.	To note
8.	Other items			

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
a)				
9.	Date of Next Meeting: Tuesday 24th January 2023 – 3pm Hybrid meeting – Teams and County Hall.			

Westbury LHFIG

Highways Officer – Kirsty Rose

1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the LHFIG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Westbury Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with LHFIG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Westbury Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£2182.78**

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

6. Safeguarding implications